



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

Jerry K. Mueller, Jr.
Mueller and Smith, LPA
7700 Rivers Edge Drive
Columbus OH 43235

In re Application of
Charles L. Butland
Application No. 10/805,010
Filed: March 19, 2004
Attorney Docket No.: BTO 2-007
Title: MULTI-MODAL AUTHENTICATION, ANTI-
DIVERSION AND ASSET MANAGEMENT AND
METHOD

COPY MAILED

JUN 09 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
DECISION ON PETITION UNDER
37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b)¹, filed March 17, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Missing Parts (notice), mailed June 4, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of two (2) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on August 5, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 18, 2005.

With the petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee, a declaration which has been executed by the inventor, the required surcharge², and the proper statement of unintentional delay.

Petitioner has met all requirements for a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b). As such, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

1 A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

2 Petitioner has failed to include the surcharge, but the \$65 has been charged to his deposit account, as authorized in the petition.